|  |
| --- |
| Progressive Case Study Assignment Rubric |
| Demonstration of Deeper Understanding and Cognitive Skills |
| Criterion  | **Does Not Meet Expectations**  | **Nearly Meets Expectations**  | **Meets Expectations**  | **Exceeds Expectations**  | **Score and Comments**  |
|  | **≤ 14**  | **15–16**  | **17–18**  | **19–20**  |  |
| Identification of the main issues/problems  | Unable to identify, label, and understand relevant main issues and/or problems  | Identifies, labels, and understands all but 3 or 4 relevant main issues and/or problems  | Identifies, labels, and understands all but 1 or 2 relevant main issues and/or problems  | Identifies, labels, and understands all relevant main issues and/or problems  |  |
|  | **≤ 14**  | **15–16**  | **17–18**  | **19–20**  | **Score and Comments** |
| Analysis of issues  | Incomplete analysis of the problems/questions presented in the case  | Superficial analysis of some of the problems/questions presented in the case  | Thorough analysis of most of the problems/questions presented in the case  | Insightful and thorough analysis of all the questions presented in the case  |  |
|  | **≤ 14**  | **15–16**  | **17–18**  | **19–20**  | **Score and Comments** |
| Linkage of course readings and other resources to problem/question  | Incomplete or no inquiry into problems/questions with clearly documented linkages to the material read in class, other assigned resources, previously gained knowledge, and/or outside resources  | Limited inquiry into the problems/questions with clearly documented linkages to the material read in class, or other assigned resources, previously gained knowledge, or out-side resources  | Good inquiry into the problems/questions with clearly documented linkages to the material read in class, and/or other assigned resources, previously gained knowledge, and/or outside resources  | Excellent inquiry into the problems/questions with clearly documented link-ages to the material read in class, other assigned resources, previously gained knowledge, and outside resources  |  |
|  | **≤ 14**  | **15–16**  | **17–18**  | **19–20**  | **Score and Comments** |
| Effective response and/or solutions to case study questions  | Each response is incorrect, or poorly written, or unreferenced, and irrelevant to question(s) or problem(s) presented  | Each response is minimally correct, or well-written, or appropriately referenced, or irrelevant to question(s) or problem(s) presented  | Each response is mostly correct, and/or well-written, and/or appropriately referenced, and/or relevant to question(s) and/or problem(s) presented  | Each response is correct, well-written, appropriately referenced, and relevant to question(s) or problem(s) presented  |  |
|  | **≤ 14**  | **15–16**  | **17–18**  | **19–20**  | **Score and Comments** |
| Formatting, spelling, grammar  | Multiple errors in APA citations and references. There are multiple mechanical errors such as spelling, formatting, and grammar  | May have some errors in APA citations and references. There are some mechanical errors such as spelling, formatting, or grammar  | Minimum errors in APA citation and references. There are minimal mechanical errors such as spelling, and/or formatting, and/or grammar  | No errors in APA citations or references. There are no mechanical errors such as spelling, formatting, and grammar  |  |
| Total points possible: 100  | **Score and summary comments:**  |

Note: This rubric is an adaptation of the rubric offered by Dennison et al. (2015). *Evaluation beyond exams in nursing education: Designing assignments and evaluating with rubrics*.